arXiv:1302.5506v1 [math.DG] 22 Feb 2013

On the endomorphisms of some sheaves of functions

P.P. Ntumba[*]

Abstract

Given a C^∞ real manifold X and $\mathcal{C}^m_X$ its sheaf of m-times differentiable real-valued functions, we prove that the sheaf $\mathcal{D}^{m,r}_X$ of differential operators of order $\leq m$ with coefficient functions of class C^r can be obtained in terms of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}^m_X, \mathcal{C}^r_X)$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^m_X$ into $\mathcal{C}^r_X$. The superscripts m and r are integers.

Key Words: Distributions, support of a function, sheaf of functions, sheaf of differential operators, partition of unity, functor $\mathcal{H}om$.

1 Statement of the main theorem

In this note, we denote by X a real C^∞ manifold and by $\mathcal{C}^m_X$ the *sheaf of real-valued functions of class* C^m, on X, with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. On the other hand, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^{m,r}_X$, where $0 \leq m < \infty$ and $0 \leq r < \infty$, the *sheaf of differential operators of order* $\leq m$ *with coefficients of class* C^r. Note that

---

[*] I am indebted to Professor P. Schapira for his many constructive remarks, which led to the present form of the article.

$\mathcal{D}_X^{0,r} = \mathcal{C}_X^r$, for any nonnegative integer r. Recall that a section P on $\mathcal{D}_X^{m,r}$ may be written locally in a local chart as (see [1, p.13])

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial_X^\alpha, \tag{1}$$

where a_α are real-valued functions of class C^r. In the equation (1), we have used the following classical notation: $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n = \dim X$, $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a multi-index, and $\partial^\alpha := \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$. Furthermore, we also set, by classical convention, that $|\alpha| := \sum \alpha_i$ and $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$.

Definition 1.1 For any $x_0 \in X$, we denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{x_0}^m \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X^m$ the subsheaf of $\mathcal{C}_X^m$, given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{x_0}^m(U) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_X^m(U), & \text{if } x_0 \notin U \\ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(U) : \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq m, \ (\partial_U^\alpha \varphi)(x_0) = 0, & \text{if } x_0 \in U. \end{cases}$$

Notice that in the equation $(\partial_U^\alpha \varphi)(x_0) = 0$ of Definition 1.1, we have assumed that U is a local chart of x_0.

For any nonnegative integers m and r such that $m \geq r$, the morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_X^{m-r,r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r), \quad P \longmapsto (\varphi \longmapsto P(\varphi)) \tag{2}$$

is called the *natural morphism of* $\mathcal{D}_X^{m-r,r}$ *into* $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r)$. On the other hand, we set that *if* $m - r < 0$, *then* $\mathcal{D}^{m-r,r} = 0$.

This is our main theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *For any* $m - r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, *the morphism* (2) *is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 1.2 associates naturally with Peetre's theorem ([3, 4]), which states the following: *Let* Ω *be an open set in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{D}$ *the sheaf of* C^∞ *functions* *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, and* $\mathcal{D}'$ *the sheaf of distributions on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*. Moreover, let* $P : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ *be a linear map such that*

$$supp(Pf) \subseteq supp(f), \tag{3}$$

and let Λ *be the set of points of discontinuity of* P*. Then, there exists a family of distributions* $\{a^\alpha\}$*, locally finite and unique outside of* Λ*, such that*

$$supp(Pf - \sum a^\alpha D_\alpha f) \subseteq \Lambda,$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$*.*

This theorem gives rise to another theorem, also proved by Peetre in [4]: *Let* H *be a subspace of* $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$*, closed under multiplication with elements of* $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$*, and assume that* H *has no elements with finite local support. Moreover, let* $P : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \longrightarrow H$ *be a linear map satisfying (3). Then, there exists a unique family of distributions* $\{a^\alpha\}$*, locally finite and locally in* H*, such that*

$$Pf = \sum a^\alpha D_\alpha f,$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$*.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

First, let us recall the following classical result (see, for instance [2, p.5, Lemma 1.1.1]).

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ *be a finite open covering of the unit sphere* $S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$*. Then, there exists a family of nonnegative real-valued maps of class* C^∞ $\varphi_i : S^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$*, such that*

(*i*) *supp* $\varphi_i \subseteq U_i$*, for all* i*,*

(*ii*) $0 \leq \varphi_i(x) \leq 1$*, for all* $x \in S^{n-1}$*,* $i \in I$

(iii) $\sum_{i\in I}\varphi_i(x) = 1$, *for all* $x \in S^{n-1}$.

Still assuming the notations of Lemma 2.1, for every $i \in I$, we let $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map given by

$$\psi_i(x) = \varphi_i(\frac{x}{||x||}). \tag{4}$$

Clearly, ψ_i is C^∞ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $||\partial^\alpha \psi_i||$ is bounded for any $|\alpha| < \infty$. Next, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^m real-valued function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. For every $i \in I$, set

$$(\psi_i\varphi)(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_i(x)\varphi(x), & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

It is clear that

$$\varphi = \sum_{i\in I} \psi_i\varphi. \tag{6}$$

Thus, we have

Lemma 2.2 *Let* U *be an open neighborhood of* 0 *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$. *For any nonnegative integer* m, *if* $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_0^m(U)$, *then* $\psi_i\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_0^0(U)$, *where the* ψ_i *are the functions* (4).

Furthermore,

Lemma 2.3 *For any open neighborhood* U *of* 0 *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *and nonnegative integer* m, *if* $u \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\mathcal{C}^m_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathbb{R}^n})(U)$, *then* $u(\mathfrak{M}_0^m) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_0^0$.

Proof. First, let us consider a sphere S contained in U and centered at the origin, and denote, for example, by A and B the north and south poles of S. Next, consider the following open covering of S: $\{U_1, U_2\}$, where U_1 contains A and does not intersect some open neighborhood V_1 of B, and, similarly, U_2 contains B and does not intersect some open neighborhood V_2

of A. By Lemma 2.1, we let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{U_1, U_2\}$, and let ψ_1, ψ_2 be functions derived from the φ_i as in (4). We denote by $\mathbb{R}^+V_i$ the open cone generated by V_i, $i = 1, 2$. It is obvious that ψ_i vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^+V_i$. Now, let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_0^m(U)$; it is easily seen that $\partial^\alpha(\psi_i\varphi)|_{\mathbb{R}^+V_i} = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, for any $|\alpha| \leq m$. Since $||\partial^\alpha\psi_i||$, $i = 1, 2$, is bounded, it follows that

$$\partial^\alpha(\psi_i\varphi)|_{\overline{\mathbb{R}^+V_i}} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, since u is linear, Equation (7) implies that

$$u(\psi_i\varphi)|_{\overline{\mathbb{R}^+V_i}} = 0;$$

thus

$$u(\psi_i\varphi)(0) = 0,$$

for every $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, $\psi_i\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_0^0(U)$, for every i; but

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \psi_i\varphi,$$

therefore

$$u(\varphi)(0) = u(\psi_1\varphi)(0) + u(\psi_2\varphi)(0) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$u(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{M}_0^0(U),$$

and the proof is finished. ■

We are now set for the proof of a *particular case of Theorem 1.2*: the *isomorphism* $\mathcal{D}_X^{m,0} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^0)$. But first let us recall Taylor's theorem for multivariate functions: Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^k at a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists $h_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(a)}{\alpha!}(x-a)^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} h_\alpha(x)(x-a)^\alpha, \tag{8}$$

and $\lim_{x \to a} h_\alpha(x) = 0$. Moreover, if f is C^{k+1}, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(a)}{\alpha!}(x-a)^\alpha + \sum_{|\beta|=k+1} R_\beta(x)(x-a)^\beta, \tag{9}$$

where

$$R_\beta(x) = \frac{|\beta|}{\beta!}\int_0^1 (1-t)^{|\beta|-1}\partial^\beta f(a+t(x-a))dt. \tag{10}$$

We have used in both equations (9) and (10) the multi-index notation:

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let X be an n-dimensional real C^∞ manifold. For any local chart $U \subseteq X$, let $u \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^0)(U)$, $\mathcal{P}^m$ the space of polynomials on U of degree $\leq m$ and $\mathcal{P}_U^m$ the constant sheaf on U with stalk $\mathcal{P}^m$. If $u(\mathcal{P}_U^m) = 0$, then $u = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(V)$, where V is a subopen of U containing a point x_0. By applying mutatis mutandis Taylor's formula (cf. (9)) on φ, about x_0, we have that

$$\varphi(x_0+h) = q(x_0+h) + \psi(x_0+h),$$

where

$$q(x_0+h) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha \varphi(x_0)}{\alpha!}h^\alpha,$$

and

$$\psi(x_0+h) = \sum_{|\beta|=m} R_\beta(x_0+h)h^\beta;$$

so $q \in \mathcal{P}_U^m(V)$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_{x_0}^m(V)$. Then, by virtue of the hypothesis and Lemma 2.3, $u(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{M}_{x_0}^0(V)$; therefore $u(\varphi)(x_0) = 0$. But this holds for all $x_0 \in V$, subopen V of U, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(V)$, so $u = 0$. ■

2.1 Case $0 \leq r < m$

Theorem 2.5 *Let X be an n-dimensional C^∞ real manifold and $\mathcal{D}_X^{m,r}$ the sheaf of differential operators of order $\leq m$ and whose coefficients are of class*

C^r. Then, the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_X^{m,r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r) \tag{11}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. That the morphism (11) is injective is obvious. Let us show surjectiveness. To this end, let $u \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r)(U)$, where U is a local chart $(U; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in X. We will show that u is in fact a differential operator with coefficient functions of class C^r. For this purpose, consider the differential operator

$$P = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} a_\beta(x)\partial^\beta \tag{12}$$

in which the a_β are of class C^r and defined by induction on $|\beta|$, in the following manner: let $\mathbb{I} : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the constant function $\mathbb{I}(x) = 1$, we set

$$a_0(x) = u(\mathbb{I}) \equiv a_0.$$

Suppose that we have defined a_β for $|\beta| < t$, then, for any multi-index α such that $|\alpha| = t$, set:

$$a_\alpha(x) = (u - \sum_{|\beta| < t} a_\beta(x)\partial^\beta)(x^\alpha).$$

Clearly, $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X^r(U)$. That $P \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r)(U)$ is clear. Since, for every x^β, with $|\beta| \leq m$,

$$(u - P)(x^\beta) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$(u - P)(\mathcal{P}_U^m) = 0;$$

hence, by Lemma 2.4, $u = P$, and the proof is finished. ■

2.2 Case $m - r < 0$

Lemma 2.6 *For any nonnegative integer m, $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}_X^{m+1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X^0$, we have that

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^0).$$

By Theorem 2.5, for any open set U in X, if

$$u \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1})(U) \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^0)(U),$$

then

$$u \in \mathcal{D}_X^{m,0}(U).$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that U is a local chart of X, so

$$u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha, \tag{13}$$

where $a_\alpha(x)$ is C^0. If all the $a_\alpha(x)$, in (13), are zero , then there is nothing to prove. Suppose there exists an α such that $a_\alpha(x_0) \neq 0$ for some point $x_0 \in U$, and let $b \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(U)$ be such that $\partial^{m+1}b$ does not exist at x_0; consequently, $b \notin \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1}(U)$. By applying (13) on b, we notice that $u(b) \notin \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1}(U)$. For, suppose, without loss of generality, that, in (13), $a_\alpha(x) = 0$ for all α such that $|\alpha| < m$; so

$$u(b) = \sum_{|\alpha| = m} a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha b.$$

Since $\partial^{m+1}b$ does not exist at x_0, then, for some β with $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\partial^\beta(u(b)) = \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \partial^\beta(a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha b);$$

thus $\partial^\beta(a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha b)$ does not exist at x_0. But this leads to a contradiction as by hypothesis $u(b) \in \mathcal{C}_X^{m+1}(U)$. ■

Thus, whenever $m < r$, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.7 *Let* m, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $0 \leq m < \infty$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ *and* $m - r < 0$. *Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}_X^{m-r,r} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^r). \tag{15}$$

Proof. (14) follows from the sequence

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}^m_X, \mathcal{C}^r_X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}^m_X, \mathcal{C}^{m+1}_X) = 0.$$

Hence, (15) holds. ■

2.3 Case $m = r$

Lemma 2.8 *Let U be a local chart in a manifold X, m a nonnegative integer and $P : \mathcal{C}^m_X(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^m_X(U)$ a morphism, given by*

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha_X,$$

where $a_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{C}^0_X$. Then, $a_0(x) \in \mathcal{C}^m_X(U)$ and $a_\alpha(x) = 0$ for all α such that $|\alpha| \neq 0$. In other words,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}^m_X, \mathcal{C}^m_X) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^m_X.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{I} : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the constant function $\mathbb{I}(x) = 1$. Then,

$$P(\mathbb{I}) = a_0(x) \equiv a_0$$

and

$$a_0 \in \mathcal{C}^m_X(U).$$

For any $\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{i-1}, \alpha_i, 0, \cdots, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we contend that $a_\alpha = 0$. In fact, let $\varphi \equiv \varphi(x_i) = x_i^m \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}_X(U)$; if $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is an antiderivative of φ, then $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^m_X(U)$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha_i=1}^{m} a_{(0,\cdots,0,\alpha_i,0,\cdots,0)}(x) \frac{\partial^{\alpha_i} \widetilde{\varphi}(x_i)}{\partial x_i^{\alpha_i}} = (P - a_0)(\widetilde{\varphi}(x_i)) \tag{16}$$

with

$$(P - a_0)(\widetilde{\varphi}(x_i)) \in \mathcal{C}^m_X(U).$$

But the collection $\{\widetilde{\varphi}(x_i), \frac{\partial\widetilde{\varphi}(x_i)}{\partial x_i}, \ldots, \frac{\partial^m\widetilde{\varphi}(x_i)}{\partial x_i^m}\}$ is linearly independent, therefore, we have that

$$P = a_0$$

and

$$a_{(0,\cdots,0,\alpha_i,0,\cdots,0)}(x) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq m$.

Now, let $\alpha = (\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{i-1},\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},0,\cdots,0)$ with $1 \leq \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} \leq m$. Consider the function $\psi \equiv \psi(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^m x_{i+1}^m \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(U)$ and let $\widetilde{\psi} \equiv \widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})$ be such that

$$\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i \partial x_{i+1}} = \psi(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Then,

$$\sum_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{m}\sum_{\alpha_i}^{m} a_{(0,\cdots,0,\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},0,\cdots,0)}(x)\frac{\partial^{\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}}\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i^{\alpha_i}\partial x_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}}} = (P - a_0)(\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})) \tag{17}$$

with

$$(P - a_0)(\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})) \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(U).$$

Since the collection

$$\{\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1}), \frac{\partial^{\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}}\widetilde{\psi}(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i^{\alpha_i}\partial x_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}}}\}_{1\leq\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}\leq m}$$

is linearly independent, it follows that

$$a_{(0,\cdots,0,\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},0,\cdots,0)}(x) = 0$$

for all α_i and α_{i+1} such that $1 \leq \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} \leq m$.

In an analogous way, one shows that the rest of the coefficient functions $a_\alpha(x)$ outside of $a_0(x)$ are 0. The proof is thus finished. ■

That $\mathcal{C}_X^m \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^m)$ is obvious. In fact, for any open $U \subseteq X$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_X^m(U)$, the map $\varphi : \mathcal{C}_X^m|_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^m|_U$, defined by

$$\varphi(\psi) = \varphi\psi$$

is linear. Thus, on considering Lemma 2.8, we have

Theorem 2.9 *Let* $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. *Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^m) \simeq \mathcal{C}_X^m.$$

Let us now state diagrammatically our results.

Theorem 2.10 *Let* X *be an* n*-dimensional* C^∞ *real manifold and* $\mathcal{D}_X^{m,r}$ *the sheaf of differential operators of order* $\leq m$ *and whose coefficients are of class* C^r. *Then, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_X^{m-n,n} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^n) \arrow[d, hook] \\
\mathcal{D}_X^{m,k} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{R}_X}(\mathcal{C}_X^m, \mathcal{C}_X^k),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, vertical arrows injections, and $k < m \leq n$*, commutes.*

References

[1] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I. Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[2] J. Jost, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis. Fifth Edition,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[3] J. Peetre, *Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels,* Math. Scand. **7**(1959), 211- 218.

[4] J. Peetre, *Réctification a l'article "Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels,"* Math. Scand. **8**(1960), 116-120.

P.P. Ntumba
Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics
University of Pretoria
Hatfield 0002, Republic of South Africa
Email: patrice.ntumba@up.ac.za